

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

I. DOUBLE PATENTING

A. The Office Action rejected claims 1-6 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,505,627.

A timely filed terminal disclaimer for the cited patent is submitted herewith.

With regard to the foregoing, Applicant respectfully requests the allowance of all claims and the issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 30 Apr 03

By 
Gerald E. Helget (Reg. No. 30,948)
Briggs and Morgan, P.A.
2400 IDS Center
80 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 334-8480